| **Risk Log Matrix 2017***Impact (I) – 6 highest, 1 lowest; Probability (P) – 6 highest, 1 lowest* |
| --- |
|  | **Description** | **Category** | **Impact & Probability** | **Counter measures / Management response** |
|  | **Enter a brief description of the risk** |  | **Describe the potential effect on the project if this risk were to occur** | **What actions have been taken/will be taken to counter this risk** |
| 1 | On-going political instability  | Political | Rising levels of mistrust among political parties may complicate process of developing shared agendas at local level.I = 5; P = 5 | Outreach and sensitization of GoN counter-parts; Consultations with Government authorities and political parties at subnational level; involvement of Multi-sectoral Dialogue Forum (MSDF) to engage political actors and resolve issues of confusion.  |
| 2 | Outbreak of violence or serious deterioration of the security environment | PoliticalSecurity | Adverse impact on programme implementation in districts affected by political tension at local level.I = 6; P = 3 | Consultation with Government authorities at subnational level to determine which activities can continue; continue to work with individuals (Government, political and civil society) as part of MSDF; technical and financial support to MSDFs to resolve crisis; continue partnerships with CSOs to ensure that some crisis response and dispute resolution work can continue.  |
| 3. | Unknown structure of Local Government Units (LGUs) | Political | Project activities at local level may be delayed and possibly need to be re-adjusted, especially once a new structure of LGUs take place.I = 5; P = 5  | Project activities designed to be flexible enough to adapt geographical focus and scope to address changes in context and structure of local level units.  |
| 4. | Difficulty in coordination due to new local units | Operational | Project implementation delayed spending time in coordination with the new local units.I = 4; P = 3  | Investing more time and efforts in coordination and capacity development of local elected representatives; support in local level planning; support for establishment of “Information Centers”. |
| 5. | Three tier elections  | Political | Project activities related to central, district, and local level may be delayed and possibly need to be re-adjustedI = 6; P = 5 | Project activities designed to be flexible enough to adapt timeline and scope to address delays in program implementation.  |
| 6. | Difficulties in identifying and fielding qualified experts in a timely manner. | Operational | Project implementation delayed.I = 4; P = 3 | Mobilize support from regional partners to expand pool of experts, establishment of LTA/LOAs, etc. |
| 7. | Limited capacity of implementing parties/ local bodies,  | Strategic and regulatory | Delay in programme implementation.I = 5; P = 5 | Investing more on capacity development of different stakeholders; support to “Multi-sectoral Dialogue Forums” for crisis response capacity and outreach.  |